### [Tetrahedron 67 \(2011\) 501](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2010.10.080)-[504](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2010.10.080)

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Tetrahedron

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/tet](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/tet)

# Synthetic approach to cis and trans-decalins via Diels-Alder reaction and ring-closing metathesis as key steps: further extension to dioxapropellane derivative by ring-closing metathesis

# Sambasivarao Kotha \*, Arjun S. Chavan, Mirtunjay Kumar Dipak

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Powai, Mumbai 400076, India

#### article info

Article history: Received 30 August 2010 Received in revised form 20 October 2010 Accepted 27 October 2010 Available online 12 November 2010

Keywords: Diels-Alder reaction Ring-closing metathesis Decalin Propellane Hydrogenation

### **ABSTRACT**

9,10-Substituted cis and trans-decalins were synthesized by a simple route using the Diels-Alder reaction and ring-closing metathesis (RCM) as key steps. Later, the cis-decalin system has been extended to 3,8-dioxa[8.4.4]propellane derivative by RCM sequence as a key step.

2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Many natural products contain an ortho-condensed system as part of their molecular framework.<sup>[1](#page-3-0)</sup> To this end, decalin and hydrindane systems that appear more frequently have received considerable attention. For example the trans-decalin system is present in steroid and terpenoid natural products, such as drimanes, clerodanes, and abietanes.<sup>1a</sup> The cis-decalin containing diterpene agelasine-A, shows an antimicrobial activity and inhibits the activity of the enzyme Na, K-ATPase.<sup>[2](#page-3-0)</sup> Similarly, branimycin acts as antibiotic<sup>[3](#page-3-0)</sup> (Fig. 1).

Therefore, design of cis and trans-decalin frames is a challenging task and more specifically bridge functionalized decalins are more difficult to prepare. Although the Diels-Alder (DA) reaction is the most common route for the synthesis of decalin derivatives, other routes are also available.<sup>1,4</sup> Recently, Snapper and Shizuka reported the synthesis of cis-decalin derivatives by two-step process involving allylation and RCM $<sup>5</sup>$  and Mehta has used the RCM strategy</sup> for the synthesis of decalin based sesquiterpenoids. $6$  To prepare decalin based systems, Enev et al. had reported the synthesis of branimycin antibiotics containing cis-decalin frame by employing RCM strategy.<sup>[7](#page-3-0)</sup> Philips and Minger reported a tandem metathesis

approach for the synthesis of decalins and hydrindanes. $8$  Here, we devised a simple synthetic approach to cis and trans-decalin de-rivatives using allylation and metathesis<sup>[9](#page-3-0)</sup> as key steps. Further, utilization of RCM delivers the dioxapropellane system.



Fig. 1. Decalin based natural products.





Corresponding author. Tel.:  $+91$  22 25767160; fax:  $+$  91 22 25767152; e-mail address: [srk@chem.iitb.ac.in](mailto:srk@chem.iitb.ac.in) (S. Kotha).

<sup>0040-4020/\$ -</sup> see front matter  $\odot$  2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi[:10.1016/j.tet.2010.10.080](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2010.10.080)

Carbocylic and heterocyclic-based propellanes are important structural units present in many natural and non-natural targets. $10$ Ginsburg and Wiberg had reviewed various aspects of small and medium ring propellane derivatives.<sup>11</sup> Koskinen group had reviewed the literature of natural products containing propellane ring systems.[12](#page-3-0)

## 2. Results and discussion

To design propellane derivatives, cis-decalin diester was identified as a key intermediate. To this end, the DA reaction of sulfolene (1) and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD) under heating in toluene or sealed tube condition was attempted. To our surprise the DA reaction failed to give the required adduct. Next, an alternate DA route involving diethyl maleate and sulfolene (1) was attempted. Unfortunately this route also did not deliver the required DA adduct.

#### 2.1. cis and trans-Diallylated compounds 5a,b

Subsequently, maleic anhydride (2) was reacted with sulfolene (1) in diglyme at  $150-160$  °C to generate the known cyclohexene derivative  $\mathbf{3}^{13}$  $\mathbf{3}^{13}$  $\mathbf{3}^{13}$  Ethanolysis of  $\mathbf 3$  under p-TSA conditions using known procedure gave the diester 4.<sup>[14](#page-3-0)</sup> Allylation of the dianion generated from 4 gave the cis and trans-diallylated product (5a and 5b) in 3:2 ratio (Scheme 1). These diastereoisomers were separated by silicagel column chromatography.



Scheme 1. Preparation of cis and trans-diallylated compounds.

#### 2.2. cis and trans-Decalins by RCM

Both cis- and trans-diallyl derivatives 5a and 5b gave the expected RCM products 6a and 6b in good yields upon exposure to Grubbs' second generation catalyst at rt. It is interesting to note that the trans-diallyl derivative 5b gave the double bond isomerized product 6b under these conditions.[15](#page-3-0) Further, hydrogenation of these RCM products with Pd/C gave the cis and trans-decalin derivative 7a and 7b, respectively (Scheme 2). The hydrogenation of trans-decalin derivative takes comparatively more time than cis-derivative. Thus we have prepared stereochemically pure cis and trans-decalin systems in 3:2 ratios by employing allylation and RCM sequence.

#### 2.3. cis-Decalin diester by direct alkylation

To establish the structure of cis-decalin derivative 7a, its preparation by an alternate synthetic route based on literature procedure was attempted.[16](#page-3-0) Thus, the diester 4 was alkylated with 1,4-dibromobutane using NaHMDS to generate unsaturated cis-decalin derivative. Subsequent catalytic hydrogenation with Pd/C in ethanol gave **7a.** The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral data of the compound

obtained by this route is identical to that of the product obtained via metathesis (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2. Preparation of cis and trans-decalins by RCM.

#### 2.4. Synthesis of 3,8-dioxa[8.4.4]propellane by RCM

Having prepared both the cis and trans-decalin derivatives 7a and 7b, we focused our attention toward the synthesis of 3,8 dioxapropellane derivative. To this end, diester 7a was reduced with LAH to deliver the diol 8. The preparation of this diol 8 is known in the literature from the reduction of 9,10-decalin anhydride by LAH.<sup>17</sup> O-Allylation of the diol 8 with allyl bromide in DMF gave the cis-9,10-bis(allyloxymethyl)decalin (9) in good yield. RCM of diallyl derivative 9 with the Grubbs' first generation catalyst afforded the tricyclic system  $10$  as a cis-trans olefinic mixture,<sup>[18](#page-3-0)</sup> which on hydrogenation gave the saturated dioxapropellane derivative 11 (Scheme 3).



Scheme 3. Preparation of 3,8-dioxa[8.4.4]propellane.

#### 3. Conclusion

A simple route for the synthesis of cis and trans-decalin derivatives has been developed by utilizing DA reaction and RCM sequence as key steps. One of these decalin systems was found to be useful to prepare dioxapropellane system via the RCM approach.

#### 4. Experimental section

# 4.1. General procedures

All the reactions were monitored by employing TLC technique using appropriate solvent system for development. Reactions involving oxygen sensitive reagents or catalysts were performed in degassed solvents. Dry tetrahydrofuran (THF) was obtained by distillation over sodium benzophenone ketyl freshly prior to use. Dichloromethane was distilled over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. Magnesium sulfate/sodium sulfate were dried in an oven at 130 °C for 1 day before use. All the solvent extracts were washed successively with water, brine (saturated sodium chloride solution) and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate/sodium sulfate, and concentrated at reduced pressure on rotary evaporator. Yields reported are isolated yields of the products after purification by column chromatography. All the commercial grade reagents were used without further purification. Infrared (IR) spectra for solid samples were recorded as KBr pellets and liquid samples as their film between CsCl plates. Proton Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) spectra were generally recorded on 400 MHz or 300 MHz spectrometers. Carbon Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (<sup>13</sup>C NMR) spectra were recorded on 100 MHz or 75 MHz spectrometer. NMR samples were generally made in chloroform-d solvent and chemical shifts were reported in  $\delta$  scale using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. The standard abbreviation s, d, t, q and m, refer to singlet, doublet, triplet, quartet, and multiplet, respectively. Coupling constants (J) are reported in Hertz. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on  $(10\times5$  cm) glass plates coated with silica gel (containing 13% calcium sulfate as a binder). Silica gel is coated on glass plates using 'Sandwich Technique.' In this process, two equally sized clean glass plates are immersedin uniformly stirred silica gel suspensionin an organic solvent (usually ethyl acetate). Only the exposed surface of the plate is thus coated with silica gel. The solvent evaporates readily leaving a thinlayer of silica gel and then the plate is ready for the use. Visualization of the spots on TLC plates was achieved either by exposure to iodine vapors or UV light. The products were purified by silica gel  $(100-200 \text{ mesh})$  column chromatography.

4.1.1. Diethyl 1,2-diallylcyclohex-4-ene-1,2-dicarboxylate (5a,b). To a cooled solution (at 0 °C) of diethyl tetrahydropthalate (**4**) (250 mg, 1.35 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added NaHMDS (1 M solution in hexane) (4 mL, 4 mmol) in drop-wise manner. After half an hour the solution became red in color. Allyl bromide (0.46 mL, 5.43 mmol) was added slowly at 0  $^{\circ}$ C. After completion of the reaction (TLC monitoring, 10 h), the reaction mixture was quenched with aqueous saturated NH4Cl solution (2 mL) and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was partitioned between water (50 mL) and ether (25 mL). The aqueous portion was extracted with ether  $(3\times25 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried over anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ . The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by a silica-gel column chromatography (3% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether) to gave cis and trans 5a (189 mg) and 5b (126 mg), respectively, in 3:2 ratio as a thick pale yellow liquid. The overall yield is 76%.

Spectral data for compound  $5a$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  5.54-5.64 (m, 4H), 4.95-5.04 (m, 4H), 4.15-4.27 (m, 2H), 3.95-4.12 (m, 2H), 2.55-2.68 (m, 4H), 2.21 (dd,  $J_1$ =13.7 Hz,  $J_2$ =8.8 Hz, 2H), 2.08 (dd, J<sub>1</sub>=16.7 Hz, J<sub>2</sub>=2.7 Hz, 2H), 1.22 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 6H) ppm.  $^{13}$ C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  173.7, 134.8, 123.9, 118.6, 60.7, 50.3, 36.0, 28.4, 14.1 ppm. IR (neat):  $v_{\text{max}}$  3056, 2917, 1745, 1438, 1199, 913 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (QTOF ES<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{18}H_{26}O_4$ Na: 329.1729; found: 329.1737.

Spectral data for compound  $5b$ .  $^{1}H$  NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  5.54-5.67 (m, 4H), 5.03-5.07 (m, 4H), 4.09-4.20 (m, 4H), 2.89  $(dd, J<sub>1</sub>=13.1 Hz, J<sub>2</sub>=6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.46 (d, J=17.4 Hz, 2H), 2.27 (dd,$  $J_1$ =13.1 Hz,  $J_2$ =8.3 Hz, 2H), 2.04 (d, J=17.7 Hz, 2H), 1.25 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 6H) ppm. 13C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl3): d 173.8, 133.5, 124.3, 118.5, 60.5, 50.5, 37.2, 30.0, 14.0 ppm. IR (neat):  $v_{\text{max}}$  3054, 2912, 1750, 1438, 1199, 911 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (QTOF ES<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{18}H_{27}O_4$ : 307.1909; found: 307.1899.

4.1.2. cis-Diethyl 1,4,4a,5,8,8a-hexahydronaphthalene-4a,8a-dicarboxylate ( $6a$ ). To a solution of  $5a$  (25 mg, 0.08 mmol) in DCM (10 mL), Grubbs' second generation catalyst (3.46 mg, 5 mol %) was added under argon at rt. After completion of the reaction (TLC monitoring, 6 h), the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by a silica-gel column chromatography (3% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether) to give 6a (17.8 mg,  $80\%$  yield) as a thick colorless liquid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  5.56 (s, 4H), 4.13 (g, J=7.0 Hz, 4H), 2.64 (br s, 3H), 2.38 (d,  $J=15.0$  Hz, 5H), 1.23 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  175.6, 124.0, 60.5, 44.4, 33.7, 14.2 ppm. IR (KBr):  $v_{\text{max}}$ : 2856, 1768, 1438, 913 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (QTOF ES<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C16H23O4: 279.1596; found: 279.1602.

4.1.3. trans-Diethyl 1,2,4a,5,8,8a-hexahydronaphthalene-4a,8a-dicarboxylate (**6b**). To a solution of **5b** (55 mg, 0.18 mmol) in DCM (10 mL), Grubbs' second generation catalyst (7.6 mg, 5 mol %) was added under argon at rt. After completion of the reaction (TLC monitoring, 6 h), the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by a silica-gel column chromatography (3% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether) to give  $6b$  (37 mg, 76% yield) as a thick colorless liquid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  5.58–5.68 (m, 4H), 5.01 (t, J=7.3 Hz, 1H), 4.11-4.16 (m, 1H), 3.98-4.08 (m, 2H), 2.62-2.73 (m, 6H),1.21 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 2.26 (dd, J<sub>1</sub>=13.7 Hz,  $J_2$ =8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.12 (dd, J<sub>1</sub>=16.8 Hz, J<sub>2</sub>=2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.27 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 175.0, 173.7, 134.8, 125.3, 123.9,118.6, 60.7, 60.4, 50.3, 45.5, 35.9, 34.2, 34.2, 28.4,14.1,14.0 ppm. IR (KBr):  $\nu_{\text{max}}$ : 2848, 1758, 1442, 911 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (QTOF ES<sup>+</sup>): m/z  $[M+H]^+$  calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 279.1596; found: 279.1591.

#### 4.2. General procedure for the hydrogenation

To a solution of unsaturated diester in ethanol, 10% palladium/ charcoal was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for required time (monitored by NMR) under 1 atm hydrogen pressure. Then, the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and washed with ethyl acetate (20 mL). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by silica-gel column chromatography. Elution of the column with 5% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether gave the hydrogenated product.

4.2.1. cis-9,10-Bis(carboethoxy)decalin  $(7a)$ . (Reaction time 2 days, yield 99%). Mp 44-46 °C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.12 (q, J=7.0 Hz, 4H), 2.40 (br s, 2H), 1.90 (br s, 2H), 1.80–1.25 (m, 12H), 1.23 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 6H) ppm.<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): d 177.0, 60.1, 47.7, 31.0 (br s), 22.0, 14.3 ppm. IR (KBr):  $\nu_{\rm max}$ : 2943, 1718, 1265, 1142, 1032 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (QTOF ES<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>27</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 283.1909; found: 283.1900.

4.2.2. trans-9,10-Bis(carboethoxy)decalin (7b). (Reaction time 3 days, yield 99%). Mp 102-104 °C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.26–4.18 (dq, J<sub>1</sub>=7.0 Hz, J<sub>2</sub>=3.7 Hz, 2H), 4.06-3.98 (dq, J<sub>1</sub>=7.0 Hz, J<sub>2</sub>=3.7 Hz, 2H), 2.03 (m, 2H), 1.88 (m, 2H), 1.64-1.28 (m, 4H), 1.25 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 8H), 0.89 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 174.9, 60.3, 52.0, 32.7, 26.2, 20.6, 18.5, 14.9, 14.2 ppm. IR (KBr):  $v_{\text{max}}$ : 2963, 1715, 1265, 1140, 1033 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (QTOF ES<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>27</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 283.1909; found: 283.1918.

4.2.3. cis-9,10-Bis(hydroxymethyl)decalin (8). To a suspension of LAH (862 mg, 22.69 mmol) in dry THF (30 mL), cis-9,10-bis(carboethoxy)decalin (7a) (1.6 g, 5.67 mmol) was added by dissolving in dry THF (15 mL) under nitrogen and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 7 h. After completion of the reaction (TLC monitoring), the reaction mixture was cooled to 0  $\degree$ C and quenched with ethyl acetate and stirred for 30 min at rt. Then, the organic layer was

<span id="page-3-0"></span>washed with water ( $2-3$  times), brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was washed with petroleum ether afforded 8 as pure white solid (1.03 g, 92%). Mp 152—156 °C. (lit. mp 152 °C and 180—182 °C).<sup>17-1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.08 (br s, 2H), 3.29 (br s, 2H), 2.73 (br s, 2H), 2.15–1.40 (m, 16H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (65.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  68.1, 39.2, 30.3, 21.6 ppm.

4.2.4. cis-9,10-Bis(allyloxymethyl)decalin (9). To a suspension of NaH (242 mg, 10.1 mmol) in DMF (25 mL), cis-9,10-bis(hydroxymethyl)decalin (8) (500 mg, 2.52 mmol) was added. Then, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0  $^{\circ}$ C and allyl bromide (917 mg, 7.57 mmol) was added dropwise. Finally, the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 24 h and after completion of the reaction (TLC monitoring), the reaction was quenched with  $NH<sub>4</sub>Cl$ , extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, brine and dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica-gel column chromatography. Elution of the column with 2% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether gave  $\bm{9}$  (517 mg, 74%).  $^1\text{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  5.94–5.84 (m, 2H), 5.28 (q, J=1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (q, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (q, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (q, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (td,  $J=2.1$  Hz, 1.8 Hz, 4H), 3.57 (br s, 2H), 3.20 (br s, 2H), 1.90-1.20 (m, 16H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  135.6, 116.2, 74.5, 72.4, 39.0, 32.0(br s), 21.8 ppm. IR (neat):  $v_{\text{max}}$ : 2926, 2863, 1468, 1094, 920 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (QTOF ES<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>31</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 279.2324; found: 279.2318.

4.2.5. 3,8-Dioxa[8.4.4]octadec-5-ene (10). A solution of cis-9,10-bis (allyloxymethyl)decalin (9) (150 mg, 0.54 mmol) in dry DCM (15 mL) was degassed with nitrogen for 5 min and then Grubbs first generation catalyst (5 mmol %) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 24 h. After completion of the reaction (TLC monitoring), solvent was evaporated under vacuum and the crude product was purified by silica-gel column chromatography. Elution of the column with 2% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether gave 10 as a *cis–trans* olefinic mixture (99 mg, 73%). Mp 150–154 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  5.73 (t, J=2.6 Hz, 2H), 3.97 (s, 4H), 3.43 (br s, 4H), 1.90–1.20 (m, 16H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (65.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  129.6, 74.1, 71.1, 39.0, 32.0 (br s), 21.7(br s) ppm. IR (KBr):  $v_{\text{max}}$ : 2925, 2861, 1467, 1265, 1103 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (QTOF ES<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>27</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 251.2011; found: 251.2008.

4.2.6. 3,8-Dioxa[8.4.4]propellane (11). To a solution of 3,8-dioxa [8.4.4]octadec-5-ene (10) (50 mg, 0.20 mmol) in ethanol (10 mL), 10% palladium/charcoal was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 24 h under 1 atm hydrogen pressure. Then, the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and washed with ethyl acetate (20 mL), after the removal of solvent under reduced pressure crude product was obtained. The crude product was purified by silica-gel column chromatography. Elution of the column with 2% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether gave 11 as a white solid (45 mg, 87%). Mp 110–112 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3):  $\delta$  3.42 (s, 4H), 3.40 (s, 4H), 1.95–1.40 (m, 20H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (65.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  76.5, 71.0, 39.0, 29.9(br s), 26.5, 21.9 ppm. IR (KBr):  $v_{\text{max}}$ : 2920, 2859, 1117, 1012 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (QTOF ES<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>29</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 253.2168; found: 253.2163.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank DST, New Delhi for the financial support and SAIF, Mumbai for recording the spectral data. S.K. thanks DST for the award of J.C. Bose fellowship. A.S.C. and M.K.D. thank CSIR (New Delhi) for award of the research fellowship.

#### References and notes

- 1. (a) Varner, M. A.; Grossman, R. B. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 13867; (b) Vandewalle, M.; Clerco, P. D. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 1767; (c) Takashi, T. Synthesis 2000, 611; (d) Singh, V.; Iyer, S. R.; Pal, S. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 9197; (e) Nakadate, S.; Nozawa, K.; Horie, H.; Fujii, Y.; Nagai, M.; Hosoe, T.; Kawai, K.-I.; Yaguchi, T.; Fukushima, K. J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 1510; (f) Oguchi, T.; Watanabe, K.; Ohkubo, K.; Abe, H.; Katoh, T. Chem.-Eur. J. 2009, 15, 2826; (g) Yao, G.; Vidor, N. B.; Foss, A. P. Chang, L. C. J. Nat. Prod.  $2007, 70, 901$ .
- 2. Nakamura, H.; Wua, H.; Ohizumia, Y.; Hiratab, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 2989.
- 3. Mulzer, J.; Castagnolo, D.; Felzmann, W.; Marchart, S.; Pilger, C.; Enev, V. S. Chem.-Eur. J. 2006, 12, 5992.
- 4. Kim, W. H.; Lee, J. H.; Aussedat, B.; Danishfsky, S. J. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 6391.
- 5. Shizuka, M.; Snapper, M. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 5049.
- 6. Mehta, G.; Kumaran, R. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 7055.<br>7. Enev. V. S.: Drescher, M.: Mulzer, J. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 41
- Enev, V. S.; Drescher, M.; Mulzer, J. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 413; (b) Marchart, S.; Mulzer, J.; Enev, V. S. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 813; (c) Marchart, S.; Gromov, A.; Mulzer, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2050.
- 8. Minger, T. L.; Phillips, A. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 5357.
- 9. (a) Grubbs, R. H. Handbook of Metathesis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2003; Vol. 1-3; (b) Grubbs, R. H.; Schrock, R. R.; Fürstner, A. Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis, Olefin Metathesis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2007; Vol. 349, pp 1-265; (c) Chauvin, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3741; (d) Schrock, R. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3748; (e) Grubbs, R. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3760; (f) Nicolaou, K. C.; Bulger, P. G.; Sarlah, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4490; (g) Kotha, S.; Lahiri, K. Synlett 2007, 2767; (h) Grubbs, R. H. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 7117; (i) Fürstner, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3012; (i) Hoveyda, A. H.; Zhugralin, A. R. Nature 2007, 450, 243; (k) Mori, M. J. Mol. Cat. A: Chem. 2004, 213, 73; (I) Astruc, D. New J. Chem. 2005, 29, 42; (m) Kotha, S.; Mandal, K. Chem.—Asian J. 2008, 4, 354; (n) Kotha, S.; Sreenivasachary, N. Indian J. Chem., Sect. B 2001, 40, 763; (o) Casterlenas, R.; Fischmeister, C.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2004, 213, 31; (p) Clavier, H.; Grela, K.; Kirschning, A.; Mauduit, M.; Nolan, S. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6786; (q) Deshmukh, P. H.; Blechert, S. Dalton Trans. 2007, 2479.
- 10. (a) Ginsburg, D. Propellanes: Structure and Reactions; Chemie, GmbH: D-6940, Weinheim, 1975; (b) Ginsburg, D. Propellanes: Structure and Reactions (Sequel-I); Chemie, GmbH: D-6940, Weinheim, 1975; (c) Ginsburg, D. Propellanes: Structure and Reactions (Sequel-II); Chemie, GmbH: D-6940, Weinheim, 1980; (d) Kotha, S.; Dipak, M. K. Chem.—Eur. J. 2006, 12, 4446; (e) Nguyen, T. X.; Kobayashi, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 5536; (f) Shi, Q. W.; Sauriol, F.; Mamer, O.; Zamir, L. O. Chem. Commun. 2003, 68.
- 11. (a) Ginsburg, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1969, 2, 121; (b) Ginsburg, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1972, 5, 249; (c) Ginsburg, D. Top. Curr. Chem. 1987, 137, 1; (d) Ginsburg, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1974, 7, 286; (e) Ginsburg, D. Tetrahedron 1974, 30, 1487; (f) Wiberg, K. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 1984, 17, 379; (g) Wiberg, K. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 1996, 29, 229; (h) Wiberg, K. B. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 975.
- 12. Pihko, A. J.; Koskinen, A. M. P. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 8769.
- 13. Harwood, L. M.; Moody, C. J. Experimental Organic Chemistry: Principles and Practice: Blackwell Scientificlication: Victoria, 1989; 624-625
- 14. Cope, A. C.; Herrick, E. C. p 304 Organic Syntheses; 1963; Coll. Vol. 4 Vol. 30, p 29 (1950).
- 15. (a) Schmidt, B. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 1865 and references cited therein.
- 16. (a) Bilyard, K. G.; Garratt, P. J.; Hunter, R.; Lete, E. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 4731; (b) Bilyard, K. G.; Garratt, P. J.; Zahler, R. Synthesis 1980, 389; (c) Rae, I. D.; Serelis, A. K. Aust. J. Chem. 1941, 1, 43.
- 17. (a) Shea, K. J.; Greeley, A. C.; Nguyen, S.; Beauchamp, P. D.; Aue, D. H.; Witzemant, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 5901; (b) Altman, J.; Babad, E.; Itzchaki, J.; Ginsburg, D. Tetrahedron 1966, 22, 279.
- 18. (a) Delgado, M.; Martin, J. D. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4798; (b) Fürstner, A.; Langemann, K. Synthesis 1997, 792; (c) Abell, A. D.; Alexander, N. A.; Aitken, S. G.; Chen, H.; Coxon, J. M.; Jones, M. A.; McNabb, S. B.; Taylor, A. M. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 4354.